



Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 08/809,699

Examiner

Riechers et al. Group Art Unit

1611



				Druck Kille	1011	
All pa	rticipants (applicant,	applicant's representa	ative, PTO pe	rsonnel):		
(1) <u>Br</u>	uck Kifle			(3)		
(2) <i>Ja</i>	son Voight			(4)		
Date	of Interview	Sep 30, 1998		·		
Type:	▼ Telephonic [Personal (copy is gi	ven to	applicant 🔲 applicant's r	epresentative).	
Exhibi	t shown or demonst	ration conducted:]Yes ⊠ N	lo. If yes, brief description:		
Agree	ment 🛛 was reach	ed. 🗌 was not reach	ned.			
Claim	(s) discussed: <u>1 and</u>	2				
Identii <i>None</i>	fication of prior art d	iscussed:				
as the	elected subject mai	ter of group II, drawn	to compound	quest deletion of non elected is of formula I, when X is C ht agreed to have this done	R14 and CR14	doe's not form an
the cla	aims allowable must		here no copy	ents, if available, which the of the amendents which w		
1. 🛚	It is not necessary	for applicant to provi	de a separate	record of the substance of	the interview.	
LAST Section	OFFICE ACTION IS in 713.04). If a resp	NOT WAIVED AND M onse to the last Office	UST INCLUD a action has a	the contrary, A FORMAL W E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Ilready been filed, APPLICA HE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN	EINTERVIEW. (NT IS GIVEN ON	See MPEP
2.	each of the object claims are now all	ions, rejections and re owable, this complete	quirements the difference of t	uding any attachments) refle nat may be present in the la sidered to fulfill the respons g a separate record of the in	st Office action, se requirements	and since the of the last

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.